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ABSTRACT

Reduced graphene oxide–titania (rGO–TiO\textsubscript{2}) nanocomposites were prepared by hydrothermal method at different reaction temperatures and characterized by powder X-ray diffraction, transmission electron microscopy, micro-Raman spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and photoluminescence spectroscopy techniques, respectively. The photocatalytic properties of the nanocomposites were investigated toward the degradation of methylene blue under natural sunlight. The rGO–TiO\textsubscript{2} shows better photocatalytic activity due to the extended visible light absorption, excellent adsorptivity, and effective electron transfer process than the other controlled photocatalysts. In addition, rGO–TiO\textsubscript{2} shows good sustainability after subjecting it to five consecutive cycles of photodegradation. This enhanced photocatalytic performance and good sustainability toward dye removal makes this rGO–TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite as a potential candidate for wastewater treatment in textile and dyeing industries.
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1. Introduction

Semiconductor-based photodegradation of organic pollutants has attracted massive attention during the past decades due to their unique optical and electric properties of semiconductor in environmental applications, including air purification, water disinfection, hazardous waste remediation, and water purification [1,2]. Among the various semiconductor photocatalysts, titania (TiO\textsubscript{2}) is known to be the most promising candidate for the degradation of environmental contaminants due to its high photocatalytic activity [3,4]. Besides that, its biological and chemical...
inertness, strong oxidizing power, cost effectiveness, and long term stability against photocorrosion and chemical corrosion further support the widespread use of TiO_2 as environmental photocatalysts [1–4]. However, the problems associated with high charge recombination (e−…h+) phenomenon inherent to the TiO_2 and the large band-gap energy (3.2 eV) offers only the absorption of ultraviolet radiation for the activation of TiO_2 toward photocatalytic applications [5]. Researchers have made efforts to modify the TiO_2 by doping with metal [5] and nonmetals [6], coupling with another semiconductor [7] and secondary photophysicalactive molecules [8], deposition of mono- and bi-metallic nanoparticles [9,10], and making hybrid with carbonaceous materials includingCNT, MWCNT, graphene, etc. [11,12].

Among the various carbonaceous materials, graphene has gained lot of attention in recent years due to its unique optical, electrical, thermal, mechanical, electrochemical, and photochemical properties. Since, it has a large theoretical specific surface area (2,630 m^2 g^−1), high carrier mobility at room temperature (10,000 cm^2 V^−1 S^−1), good thermal conductivity (5,000 Wm^−1 K^−1), and good optical transmittance (~97.7%) [12–14]. Owing to these advantages, graphene has been used as suitable support materials to immobilize the metal oxide semiconductor photocatalysts [12,15,16] which shows superior adsorptivity of the target substrate on immobilized catalyst, retardation in the charge recombination, and thereby increase the electron transfer process which led to the enhanced photocatalytic performance [12,15,16].

In this study, the reduced graphene oxide–titania (rGO–TiO_2) nanocomposites were synthesized by hydrothermal method at different temperature and characterized with suitable analytical techniques. The photocatalytic activity of the as prepared rGO–TiO_2 nanocomposites was studied toward the degradation of methylene blue (MB), as a model pollutant under natural sunlight. The rGO–TiO_2 exhibited excellent photocatalytic activity than the other controlled samples due to the increased adsorptivity, extended visible light absorption and reduced electron-hole recombination.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Materials

Graphite flakes were purchased from Asbury Graphite Mill Inc. P25 and TTIP (99%) were received from Acros Organics. Triethanolamine (TEA) and potassium permanganate (KMnO_4) were obtained from R&M Chemicals (Malaysia). Chemical reagents, such as sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), and ethanol were purchased from Merck, Germany. MB was obtained from Systerm.

2.2. Synthesis of rGO–TiO_2 nanocomposites

The rGO–TiO_2 nanocomposite was synthesized according to the following procedure. Initially, GO was prepared by via a simplified Hummers method [17]. A 0.5 M of Ti(IV) solution was prepared separately by mixing a 3.72 mL of TTIP into a 3.32 mL of TEA in a volumetric flask and made up to 25 mL. In order to obtain rGO–TiO_2 nanocomposite, 10 mL of GO solution (1 mg/mL) was added into H_2O:EtOH mixture (1:14 v/v) under stirring and then 3 mL of Ti(IV) solution was added and allowed to stirred for 24 h at room temperature to obtain a homogeneous suspension and then, the mixture transferred into a teflon-lined autoclave and heated to 120°C for 24 h. Finally, a black precipitate was obtained and washed three times with ethanol, centrifuged, and dried at 60°C. Two more samples were also prepared by an identical procedure at the reaction temperature of 150 and 180°C. The samples were denoted as 120-rGO–TiO_2, 150-rGO–TiO_2, and 180-rGO–TiO_2.

2.3. Characterization techniques

Micro-Raman spectroscopy (Raman) and photoluminescence (PL) spectral measurements were performed in a Renishaw in via Raman microscope with 514 nm and 325 laser excitation, respectively. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out by Siemens D5000 X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). Morphological of rGO–TiO_2 nanocomposite was studied using JEOI JEM-2100F high resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic (XPS) measurement was performed by using synchrotron light at beam line No. 3.2 of Siam Photon Laboratory, Synchrotron Light Research Institute, Thailand at maximum photon energy 600 eV, and kinetic energy step 0.1 eV.

2.4. Photocatalytic studies

The photocatalytic activity of prepared nanocomposite materials was evaluated by using MB as a standard reference dye. In a typical process, 10 mg of photocatalyst was dispersed into the 80 mL of MB dye solution (0.01 g/L, i.e. 10 ppm) under constant stirring. Before photocatalytic studies, the solution was stirred in the dark for 30 min to establish...
adsorption-desorption equilibrium. After 30 min, photolysis cell was exposed into natural solar light irradiation. All the experiments were performed on bright sunny days between 9 am and 2 pm. At regular time intervals, the sample aliquots was periodically withdrawn from the photolysis cell and tested for dye degradation using UV–visible spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Evolution 300) by measuring the absorption intensity at 663 nm.

2.5. Dark adsorption and sustainability test

Dark adsorption of MB on the surface of photocatalysts was tested by dispersing 10 mg of catalyst into a 10 ppm of MB solution under stirring and kept in the dark for 10 min. And then, the dispersion was centrifuged and absorption spectra were recorded for the MB solution. Difference between the absorbance intensity, before and after adsorption, the amount of dyes adsorbed by the catalyst was estimated. The sustainability of the photocatalysts was studied by keeping the all experimental parameters were constant and the photocatalytic experiments were repeated for five sets using the same photocatalysts with fresh MB solution. After each photodegradation studies, the photocatalysts were removed by using centrifuge and then washed with high pure DI water in order to remove any organic contamination due to MB.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization studies

Raman spectroscopy is a powerful tool to study the disorder and defect structures of graphene. The typical Raman peaks observed at 1,346 and 1,598 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the D and G bands, respectively, for graphene (Fig. 1(a), (b), (d)). The D band is associated with the vibrations of carbon atoms with sp$^3$ electronic configuration of disordered graphene, while G band is related to the in-plane vibration of sp$^2$-bonded carbon atoms. The rGO exhibited a slight increase in the D/G band intensity ratio as compared to that in the GO spectrum. This change suggests that the decline in the average size of the in-plane sp$^2$ domain upon chemical reduction of the exfoliated GO sheets. It is reasonable to consider that the reduction of GO sheets causes fragmentation along the reactive sites and yields new graphitic domains, which led to the smaller size rGO sheets when compared to GO. The small size of rGO sheets will result in large number of edges and these edges will act as defects, consistent with the increase in the D band intensity [18]. The TiO$_2$ showed the four peaks at the low frequency region and are assigned to the $E_{1g}$ (149 cm$^{-1}$), $B_{1g}$ (398 cm$^{-1}$), $A_{1g}$ (516 cm$^{-1}$), and $E_g$ (637 cm$^{-1}$) modes of anatase phase, respectively [19,20]. The G and D bands in the Raman spectrum of rGO–TiO$_2$ are similar to those of rGO suggests that the introduction of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles did not affect the structure of the rGO sheets. Compared with GO, the rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite showed an increased D/G intensity ratio and it suggests that a decrease in the average size of the sp$^2$ domains upon reduction of the exfoliated GO [21,22].

XPS is powerful tool used to confirm the formation of rGO from the reduction of GO through a hydrothermal method. Fig. 2 shows the high-resolution XPS spectra of the C1s region of 180-rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite. The deconvoluted peak centered at 285.0 eV is assigned to the C=C and C–C bonds. In addition, the

![Fig. 1. Raman spectra obtained for as prepared (a) GO, (b) 180-rGO, (c) 180-TiO$_2$, and (d) 180-rGO–TiO$_2$.](image)

![Fig. 2. XPS spectra of 180-rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite.](image)
peaks centered at 286.2, 287.3, and 288.5 eV are attributed, respectively, to the C–O, C=O, and O–C=O bonds [23, 24]. On the other hand, the deconvoluted peak centered at 283.2 eV is due to the formation of Ti–C bond [25, 26]. This result indicates that hydrothermal process led to the formation of Ti–C chemical bond between the carbon of the rGO and the Ti(IV) of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. The XPS analysis clearly indicates the strong interaction at interfaces between rGO and TiO$_2$ in the rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite. The intense interaction lead in the creation of an electron transfer channel and it is more favorable to improve the photocatalytic reaction [27].

Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of the graphite, GO, rGO, pure TiO$_2$, and rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites prepared at various hydrothermal temperatures. The dominant diffraction peak observed at the 2$\theta$ value of 11$^\circ$ is corresponds to the (0 0 2) plane of GO [28] (Fig. 3(a)), whereas, the raw graphite flakes showed a high intense diffraction peak at the 2$\theta$ value of 26$^\circ$. The RGO shows the broad diffraction around the 2$\theta$ value of 25$^\circ$ due to the (0 0 2) plane and the broad diffraction peak indicates poor ordering of the sheets along the stacking direction, which implies the sample was comprised mainly from single or only a few layers of rGO [29]. Another peak observed at 43$^\circ$ due to the turbo static band of disordered carbon materials [30]. No other diffraction peak was observed for graphite crystal, which suggests that the stacking of the rGO sheets remained disordered and were not stacked together to form a detectable graphite structure. In addition to the diffraction peaks of carbon-based compounds, the XRD peaks have been assigned to the anatase phase of tetragonal TiO$_2$ with lattice constants of $a = 3.7892$ Å, $b = 3.7892$ Å, $c = 9.5370$ Å, and $\beta = 90.0000$ (ICPDS 71-1167). The diffraction peaks can be indexed as (1 0 1), (0 0 4), (2 0 0), (1 0 5), (2 1 1), and (2 0 4) planes, respectively. There was no evidence for the other phases of TiO$_2$ being present. Comparing the 180-rGO–TiO$_2$ and the 120-rGO–TiO$_2$ samples, an increased in diffraction peak intensities for TiO$_2$ was observed for 180-rGO–TiO$_2$, which suggests the formation of larger TiO$_2$ crystallites and enhancement of the TiO$_2$ crystallization process [31]. Full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the TiO$_2$ (1 0 1) reflection for the 120-rGO–TiO$_2$, 150-rGO–TiO$_2$, and 180-rGO–TiO$_2$ samples was 1.1595$^\circ$, 0.9832$^\circ$, and 0.6378$^\circ$, respectively. Since the FWHM is inversely proportional to the nanocrystal size (Scherrer equation), this result further confirms the hypothesis that higher hydrothermal temperatures can produce TiO$_2$ with better crystallization. The presence of graphene cannot be confirmed in the XRD for the rGO–TiO$_2$ samples due to weak and broad characteristic peak of graphene at 24.5$^\circ$ was masked by the strong (1 0 1) plane of TiO$_2$ at 25.4$^\circ$ [32].

TEM images of the prepared nanocomposites were recorded and are shown in Fig. 4 along with the histograms of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. It is clearly noted that the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are distributed uniformly on the basal plane of rGO nanosheets. The TiO$_2$ nanoparticles appear to have a spherical shape in the 180-rGO–TiO$_2$ samples when compared to the 120-rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite. The TEM for the different nanocomposites showed the average size of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles for the 120-rGO–TiO$_2$, 150-rGO–TiO$_2$, and 180-rGO–TiO$_2$ are 20.4 ± 3.9, 20.5 ± 4.7, and 21.3 ± 3.5 nm, respectively. While increase in the temperature during the hydrothermal process, the size distribution of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles becomes narrow. The lattice resolved TEM image of TiO$_2$ indicates a well-defined crystallinity with lattice spacing of 0.349 nm, which corresponds to the (1 0 1) planes of anatase phase.
The PL spectra has been extensively utilized to study the surface structure and excited state of semiconductor [33,34]. Upon irradiation, the semiconductor undergoes electron-hole separation followed by the recombination leads PL. This phenomenon is due to the reverse radiative deactivation from the excited state of TiO$_2$. Fig. 5 shows PL spectra of P25, pure TiO$_2$, and rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites prepared at three different temperatures. The P25 shows the broad and intense PL band than the 180-TiO$_2$. The rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites exhibited an abrupt PL quenching of TiO$_2$, representing that rapid electrons transfer from excited TiO$_2$ to graphene. The inset is the expanded view in the range of 400–710 nm, implicating that the quenching extent is in relation to the hydrothermal temperature used. Increasing the hydrothermal temperature from 120 to 180$^\circ$C, the PL intensity decreased gradually. This result indicates that the recombination of photoinduced electrons and holes were suppressed by the rGO in the rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites. Further, it shows that a typical charge separation at the surface junction and the electrons are tend to flow from the higher to lower Fermi level to adjust the Fermi energy levels [35]. As the calculated work function of graphene is 4.42 eV and the conduction band position of anatase is about $-4.21$ eV [36] with a band gap of
~3.2 eV (using vacuum level as a reference) [37] and it suggest that graphene can accept the photoexcited electrons from TiO$_2$, thus hinders the electron-hole recombination. It has been reported that heating treatment leads to the formation of titanium–carbon (Ti–C) bonds between graphene and TiO$_2$ [25,26]. Hence, increasing the reaction temperature promotes the bonding of TiO$_2$ on graphene with Ti–C bond, leading to better adhesion. Better linkage between the two materials must facilitate the electrons transfer, causing an abatement of emission.

3.2. Photocatalytic activity of rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites

The photocatalytic activities of the prepared rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites were studied by choosing MB as a model pollutant under natural sunlight illumination. The photodegradation of MB was monitored by the change in the concentration ($C/C_0$) from the difference between the absorbance ($A/A_0$), where $C_0$ and $A_0$ are the initial concentration and absorbance of MB, respectively. After 10 min stirring the reaction solution in dark, ~50% of MB molecules were adsorbed on the rGO–TiO$_2$ photocatalysts surface (Fig. 6(A)). This is due to the great adsorptivity behavior of rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite toward the MB molecules and which ~8-fold higher than the bare P25 and TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (data not shown due to brevity). The enhanced adsorption due to the non-covalent interaction, which is driven by the π–π stacking between MB and aromatic regions of graphene, which was similar to the

Fig. 6. (A) Photocatalytic degradation of MB in the presence of rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites under natural sunlight irradiation. (B) Photocatalytic degradation of MB at 180-rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite under (a) low and (b) high intensity of natural sunlight irradiation. (C) Sustainability of different rGO–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites up to five consecutive run under the identical experiments conditions.
of the best photocatalyst, 180-rGO–TiO2 carried out by then removed only by photodegradation. Regeneration permanent adsorption of dye over the photocatalysts, and the cleaned solution by centrifugation and was no per-

catalysts. Present photocatalysts are easily separable separation of the photocatalysts after pollutant degra-
der such as the better adsorptivity and rapid electron transfer in the nanocompos-
time was very poor (25.5%) even up to 5 h of irradiation. In contrast, the high intensity irradiation shows 98.8% degradation of MB. Hence, the effective photodegradation process not only due to adsorption of MB molecules on the catalyst surface and also depends on the intensity of the irradiation source.

Reusability of the catalyst is crucial for any hetero-
geneous catalyzed reaction, but some of the research-
ers have taken effort to test the reusability of powder photocatalysts. Owing to the difficulties in physical separation of the photocatalysts after pollutant degra-
dation and also be due to the low sustainability of the catalysts. Present photocatalysts are easily separable from the solution and also well dispersable under stirring. It can be readily separate the photocatalyst from the cleaned solution by centrifugation and was no constant adsorption of dye over the photocatalysts, and then removed only by photodegradation. Regeneration of the best photocatalyst, 180-rGO–TiO2 carried out by each photodegradation experiments by centrifugation method and washing with DI water in order to remove the any organic contaminants due to the MB. The photocatalytic activity of the 180-rGO–TiO2 nano-

close the same even up to five consecutive run of the experiments under the identical conditions (Fig. 6(C)).

4. Conclusions

The rGO–TiO2 nanocomposites were prepared through hydrothermal method at different reaction temperatures in the presence of TEA and used for the photocatalytic degradation of MB under natural sunlight. The prepared rGO–TiO2 nanocomposites were characterized by various suitable techniques, including XRD, TEM, Raman, XPS, and PL. The reaction temperature during the synthesis of the rGO–TiO2 nanocomposites plays a crucial role in controlling the structure and photocatalytic properties. Increasing the hydrothermal temperature to 180°C allows the formation of more homogeneous composites with more quenching of PL. Among the various nanocomposites, the 180-rGO–TiO2 nanocomposite shows better photodegradation efficiency toward the MB due to the narrow size distribution of TiO2 nano-

to open a new possibility in the investigation of TiO2–
carbon composites and promote their practical appli-
cation in addressing various environmental issues.
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